

# Remote sensing application for wetland/peat fire monitoring: a case study of Molopo peatland By

# K Abutaleb; M. Abd Elbasit & A Grundling

Agricultural Research Council-Soil, Climate & Water

# **Space for National Development**





# $\rightarrow$ Introduction

# $\rightarrow$ Material & Methods

# $\rightarrow$ Results & Discussion

# $\rightarrow$ Recommendations

 $\rightarrow$  Acknowledgment



- Wetlands and specifically peatlands, are valuable natural resources that needs to be monitored and managed wisely.
- Peatlands are important for carbon sequestration, water storage and biodiversity.
- Peatlands are groundwater dependent, making these ecosystems special.
- Peatlands are under increasing threat from agriculture, mining and infrastructure developments.



- Degradation of peatlands is a major and growing source of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions.
- Carbon dioxide emissions from peatland fires jeopardize the health and livelihoods.
- Peat fires were reported in the North West Province at Bodibe 2004 (water abstraction for agriculture); Molopo 2016 which was directly related to water abstraction for municipal use (Mahikeng City).



- Water abstraction for different mining activity also negatively impact the peatlands of Molopo, Bodibe and Molemani.
- Peat fire detection is a challenge. One of the major cause of dryness in the peat areas is the lowering the level of ground water due to several reason depends on the hydrological setup.



The aim of this paper is investigate the potential of different remote sensing products and indices for peat fire detections and peatland monitoring considering the Molopo peatland as one of pilot study areas



# Material & Methods Study area

# The Molopo Peatland boundary with area of approximately 186,300 m2 and number of pixels 207





# Material & Methods Data used

Three hundred thirty three Landsat 7 images were downloaded from the available archives and analyzed for the study area to assess the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) and the Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) . The thermal Landsat data is also processed to calculate the LST. The Landsat data cover the time span of 1999 up to April 2018.



# Material & Methods Image preprocessing

- The first step in the analysis was to select cloud free images which resulted in 216 cloud free images (less than 5% cloud cover)
- Images radiometric & atmospheric correction were carried out using the dark object subtraction according to Chavez (1996).
- Image Layer Stacking and study area clipping



E	ਜ਼ ਨਾ∂∗ =					ist of scences - Ex	cel			s	ign in 🖬 —	o ×
	ïle Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Data	Review	View	Help Acrobat	: 🔎 Tell me what y	ou want to do						A Share
Pas	$\begin{array}{c c} & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\$	= <u>=</u> 8	Pr -   ►¶ ■ == Aligr	T ▼ <sup>ab</sup> <sub>C</sub> , Wrap Text	General nter + \$ + % * G Number	▼ Condi Forma	itional Format as tting + Table +	nal Bad Neutral	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	Delete Format Cells	Sort & Find & Filter + Select + Editing	^
L53	2 ▼ : × ✓ f <sub>*</sub> 1.0165178											~
	AB	C	D	E	F	G	н	I.	J	К	L	N 🛋
1	No. File Name	PATH	ROW	CLOUD_COVER	DATE_ACQUIRED	SENSOR_ID	IMAGE_QUALITY	SPACECRAFT_ID	SUN_AZIMUTH	SUN_ELEVATION	ARTH_SUN_DISTANC	E
2	322 LM05_L1TP_172078_19840519_20180409_01_T	172	78	0	1984-05-19	"MSS"	5	"LANDSAT_5"	42.69236184	31.09895544	1.01184	98
3	324 LM05_L1TP_172078_19840706_20180410_01_T	172	78	0	1984-07-06	"MSS"	5	"LANDSAT_5"	42.10343549	27.81492558	1.01670	77
4	325 LM05_L1TP_172078_19840722_20180410_01_T	172	78	0	1984-07-22	"MSS"	5	"LANDSAT_5"	44.22895863	29.4311179	1.01596	23
5	328 LM05_L1TP_172078_19870104_20180327_01_T	172	78	0	1987-01-04	"MSS"	5	"LANDSAT_5"	94.51761259	51.47901979	0.98328	66
6	38 LE07_L1TP_172078_20000507_20170212_01_T	172	78	0	2000-05-07	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	39.42048609	36.9630396	1.00918	14
7	39 LE07_L1TP_172078_20000811_20170210_01_T	172	78	0	2000-08-11	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	43.09122801	36.9475687	1.01341	76
8	43 LE07_L1TP_172078_20010307_20170206_01_T	172	78	0	2001-03-07	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	65.22552398	49.70231857	0.99241	66
9	44 LE07_L1TP_172078_20010526_20170205_01_T	172	78	0	2001-05-26	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	37.06426987	33.14123031	1.01309	38
10	45 LE07_L1TP_172078_20010729_20170204_01_T	172	78	0	2001-07-29	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	41.11100998	33.66621868	1.01529	96
11	46 LE07_L1TP_172078_20010814_20170204_01_T	172	78	0	2001-08-14	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	44.16682497	37.31896481	1.01293	97
12	48 LE07_L1TP_172078_20011001_20170203_01_T	172	78	0	2001-10-01	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	58.11547873	52.3409785	1.00110	61
13	51 LE07_L1TP_172078_20011220_20170201_01_T	172	78	0	2001-12-20	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	92.38467421	59.80554584	0.98380	43
14	53 LE07_L1TP_172078_20020121_20170201_01_T	172	78	0	2002-01-21	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	87.47084263	55.87118836	0.98409	53
15	54 LE07_L1TP_172078_20020206_20170201_01_T	172	78	0	2002-02-06	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	80.87424965	53.7887887	0.98612	39
16	57 LE07_L1TP_172078_20020427_20170130_01_T	172	78	0	2002-04-27	"ETM"	4	"LANDSAT_7"	42.94244723	38.74698917	1.00654	06
17	59 LE07_L1TP_172078_20020630_20170130_01_T	172	78	0	2002-06-30	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	37.42453813	30.42934058	1.01665	23
18	60 LE07_L1TP_172078_20020716_20170129_01_T	172	78	0	2002-07-16	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	39.22223905	31.52211046	1.01641	02
19	62 LE07_L1TP_172078_20020918_20170129_01_T	172	78	0	2002-09-18	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	53.43864433	47.9673988	1.00483	62
20	63 LE07_L1TP_172078_20021004_20170129_01_T	172	78	0	2002-10-04	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	59.42709754	53.05545549	1.00031	98
21	69 LE07_L1TP_172078_20030313_20170126_01_T	172	78	0	2003-03-13	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	62.61780464	48.35560919	0.99386	23
22	70 LE07_L1TP_172078_20030329_20170126_01_T	172	78	0	2003-03-29	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	54.411365	45.2503467	0.99833	45
23	74 LE07_L1TP_172078_20031007_20170123_01_T	172	78	0	2003-10-07	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	60.52428159	53.95500593	0.99953	22
24	76 LE07_L1TP_172078_20031108_20170124_01_T	172	78	0	2003-11-08	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	77.03075075	60.73370912	0.99082	71
25	78 LE07_L1TP_172078_20031210_20170123_01_T	172	78	0	2003-12-10	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	90.84802898	60.79846156	0.98482	87
26	81 LE07_L1TP_172078_20040228_20170122_01_T	172	78	0	2004-02-28	"ETM"	9	"LANDSAT_7"	69.72938523	50.69594292	0.99048	28
27	83 LEOT LITE 172078 200/0721 20170120 01 T	172	78	0	2004-07-21	"FTM"	٩	"ΙΔΝΠSΔΤ 7"	39 9231872	32 3110925/	1 01604	79 🔹
	All 172-78 (+)							4				Þ

Ready

III III -----+ 110%



Material & Methods Image processing

NDVI calculation: NDVI is calculated according to the following equation:

# NDVI=(NIR - R) / (NIR + R)

NDVI values range from +1.0 to -1.0. Areas of barren rock, sand, or snow usually show very low NDVI values (for example, 0.1 or less). Sparse vegetation such as shrubs and grasslands or senescing crops may result in moderate NDVI values (approximately 0.2 to 0.5). High NDVI values (approximately 0.6 to 0.9) correspond to dense vegetation



Material & Methods Image processing

NDWI calculation: NDWI is calculated according to the following equation:

# NDWI= (NIR – SWIR) / (NIR + SWIR)

NDWI values range from +1.0 to -1.0. The combination of the NIR with the SWIR removes variations induced by leaf Internal structure and leaf dry matter content, improving the accuracy in retrieving the vegetation water content (Ceccato et al. 2001).



# Material & Methods Image processing

LST calculation: LST is calculated according to the following equation:

Conversion of the Digital Number (DN) to Spectral Radiance  $L_{\lambda} = L_{\lambda\min} + (L_{\lambda\max} - L_{\lambda\min}) \times (Q_{\lambda DN} - Q_{\lambda\min}) / (Q_{\lambda\max} - Q_{\lambda\min})$ 

Where  $L_{\lambda}$  is the at-sensor spectral radiance (watts/(meter squared × ster × µm));  $L_{\lambda max}$  is the maximum at-sensor spectral radiance;  $L_{\lambda min}$  is the minimum at-sensor spectral radiance

Conversion of Spectral Radiance to at-Sensor Temperature (T)

$$T_i = \frac{K_2}{\ln\left(\frac{K_1}{L_\lambda} + 1\right)}$$

Where i T is the at sensor temperature in Kelvin, K1 and K2 are pre-launch calibration constants and  $L\lambda$  is the spectral radiance in watts/(meter squared × ster ×  $\mu$ m).



# Material & Methods Image analysis

- Zonal Statistical analysis were carried out for all processed 216 images using the boundary of the Molopo peatland.
- The mean, median, minimum, maximum, 1<sup>st</sup> quantile and 3<sup>rd</sup> quantile were calculated and plotted against time to illustrate the temporal characteristics of the study area during the past twenty years.









2015-01 2015-06 2015-08 2015-10 2015-12 2016-02 2016-05 2016-07 2016-09 2016-11 2017-03 2017-05 2017-07 2017-09 2017-11 2018-02 2018-04 2018-06



2015-01 2015-06 2015-08 2015-10 2015-12 2016-02 2016-05 2016-07 2016-09 2016-11 2017-03 2017-05 2017-07 2017-09 2017-11 2018-02 2018-04 2018-06



























































Bodibe

ARC • LNR Excellence in Research and Development



2011 2014 2017

1999

2002

2005

2008 Bodibe ARC • LNR Excellence in Research and Development

- Time series analysis is required and seasonal characteristics of the peatland
- Consider more peatland
- Of course not to forget to double check the peatland boundary and the peat fire events.
- Most importantly addressing the comments and notes from the audience.







Water Research Commission Project #: **K5/2836** 

Acknowledgment

# Multi-platform remote sensing tools for peat fire detection and monitoring

ARC-Soil, Climate & Water





# THANK YOU

